

Cramer's Rule, Volume, and Linear Transformations

Cramer's Rule

Needed in a variety of theoretical calculations. For instance, to study how the solution of $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ is affected by changes in the entries of b .

However, the formula is inefficient for hand calculations, except for 2×2 or perhaps 3×3 matrices.

For any $A_{n \times n}$ and any $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $A_i(\vec{b})$ be the matrix obtained from A by replacing column i by the vector \vec{b} : $A_i(\vec{b}) = [a_1 \dots \vec{b} \dots a_n]$
 \uparrow
 $col\ i$

Theorem. (Cramer's Rule)

Let $A_{n \times n}$ be invertible. For any $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the unique solution \vec{x} of $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has entries given by

$$x_i = \frac{\det A_i(\vec{b})}{\det A}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Proof. denote the columns of A by $\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_n$ and the columns of the identity matrix $I_{n \times n}$ by $\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n$. If $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, the definition of matrix multiplication shows that

$$\begin{aligned} AI_i(\vec{x}) &= A[\vec{e}_1 \dots \vec{x} \dots \vec{e}_n] = [A\vec{e}_1 \dots A\vec{x} \dots A\vec{e}_n] = \\ &= [\vec{a}_1 \dots \vec{b} \dots \vec{a}_n] = A_i(\vec{b}) \end{aligned}$$

By the multiplicative property of determinants,

$$\det(AI_i(\vec{x})) = (\det A)(\det I_i(\vec{x})) = \det A_i(\vec{b})$$

But $(\det I_i(\vec{x})) = x_i$, hence $x_i = \frac{\det A_i(\vec{b})}{\det A}$

Application to Engineering

A number of important engineering problems, particularly in electrical engineering and control theory, can be analyzed by *Laplace transforms*. This approach converts an appropriate system of linear differential equations into a system of linear algebraic equations whose coefficients involve a parameter.

Example. Consider the following system in which s is an unspecified parameter. Determine the values of s for which the system has a unique solution, and use Cramer's rule to describe the solution.

$$\begin{cases} 3sx_1 - 5x_2 = 3 \\ 9x_1 + 5sx_2 = 2 \end{cases}$$

Solution. View the system as $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$. Then

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3s & 5 \\ 9 & 5s \end{bmatrix}, A_1(\vec{b}) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 5s \end{bmatrix}, A_2(\vec{b}) = \begin{bmatrix} 3s & 3 \\ 9 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

since

$$\det A = 15s^2 - 45 = 15s(5 - 3)$$

the system has a unique solution precisely when $s \neq 0, 3$. For such an s , the solution is (x_1, x_2) , where

$$x_1 = \frac{\det A_1(\vec{b})}{\det A} = \frac{15s-10}{15s(s-3)}; \quad x_2 = \frac{\det A_2(\vec{b})}{\det A} = \frac{3s+24}{3(s+2)(s-2)} = \frac{6s-27}{15s(s-3)}$$

Definition. The matrix of cofactors on the right side is called the *adjugate* (or *classical adjoint*) of A , denoted by $\text{adj } A$

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det A} \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{21} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & C_{n1} \\ C_{12} & C_{22} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & C_{n2} \\ \cdot & \cdot & & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & & & & \cdot \\ C_{1n} & C_{2n} & & & & C_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Theorem.} \text{ Let } A_{n \times n} \text{ be} \\ \text{an invertible matrix.} \\ \text{Then} \\ \boxed{A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det A} \text{adj } A} \end{array} \right.$$

Numerical Notes

This theorem is useful mainly for theoretical calculations. The formula for A^{-1} permits one to deduce properties of the inverse without actually calculating it. Except for special cases, the algorithm gives a much better way to compute A^{-1} , if the inverse is really needed.

Cramer's rule is also a theoretical tool. It can be used to study how sensitive the solution of $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ is to changes in an entry in \vec{b} or in A (perhaps due to experimental error when acquiring the entries for \vec{b} or A). When A is a 3×3 matrix with complex entries, Cramer's rule is sometimes selected for hand-computation because row reduction of $[A|\vec{b}]$ with complex arithmetic can be messy, and the determinants are fairly easy to compute. For a larger $n \times n$ matrix (real or complex), Cramer's rule is hopelessly inefficient. Computing just one determinant takes about as much work as solving $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ by row reduction.

Theorem. If A is a 2×2 matrix, the area of the parallelogram determined by the columns of A is $|\det A|$. If A is a 3×3 matrix, the volume of the prallelepiped determined by the columns of A is $|\det A|$.

Let \vec{a}_1 and \vec{a}_2 be nonzero vectors. Then for any scalar c , the area of the parallelogram determined by \vec{a}_1 and \vec{a}_2 equals the area of the parallelogram determined by \vec{a}_1 and $\vec{a}_2 + c\vec{a}_1$.

Theorem. Let $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 : T(\vec{x}) = A_{2 \times 2}(\vec{x})$ be a *l. t.* If S is a parallelogram in \mathbb{R}^2 , then

$$\boxed{\{\text{area of } T(S)\} = |\det A| \cdot \{\text{area of } S\}}$$

If T is determined by a 3×3 matrix A , and if S is a prallelepiped in \mathbb{R}^3 , then

$$\boxed{\{\text{volume of } T(S)\} = |\det A| \cdot \{\text{volume of } S\}}$$

Theorem. (An Inverse Formula) Let $A_{n \times n}$ be an invertible matrix. Then

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det A} \text{adj } A$$